

Gerber, Gary

From: Sisney, Jim
Sent: Saturday, August 09, 2008 8:30 AM
To: 'Lee Sisney'
Subject: RE: Talking points for Stephen Q. Peters

Brilliant, especially the closing.

From: Lee Sisney [mailto:Lee@tktdassociates.com]
Sent: Saturday, August 09, 2008 8:22 AM
To: Sisney, Jim
Subject: Talking points for Stephen Q. Peters

Jim,

Here are some suggested ideas for your consideration, assuming Mr. Peters thinks he should address the Board on your behalf:

1. My name is Stephen Q. Peters and I have been retained by Dr. Sisney, and I would like to address several points and thank you for the opportunity.
2. I would like to present you with a copy of your Policy statement on meetings and point out that Dr. Sisney was not consulted in any way and therefore this meeting has been called and is in violation of your own policy (elaborate as appropriate).
3. I would also like to address the reason for this meeting. First, I understand that you have been advised by your current legal counsel that matter surrounding a vendor by the name of Air Assurance could give rise to a Tax-Payor lawsuit. I don't know if that is true or not or what weight to give it, but I do know that as long as you have even the remote possibility of legal action on this topic, it would not be a good idea to change horses mid-stream. Such action could give rise to the appearance of lawyer shopping for the wrong reasons. Second, Mr. Sisney advises me that several good reasons exist as to why this law firm you are considering was terminated earlier. Tax-Payors, given the matters you have under consideration, might raise more than an eyebrow if you change law firms at this time. Third, taking this kind of action in a meeting that has been called and is in violation of your own policy only exacerbates the previous two points.
4. Doctor Sisney has been concerned about several things that led to my being retained. Mr. Sisney took a position on one of the vendors to the School System, who happens to be influential in the community. Since that time, this Board has all but ceased communicating with the Superintendent, has been requested to apologize to the vendor for doing his job, and has had to watch a series of inexplicable closed sessions by the Board considering his contract, his future, his career. Dr. Sisney has appropriately raised questions as to why the Board does not have a conflict of interest policy—something I find hard to believe. Dr. Sisney has gone so far to ask the President of the Board to investigate whether or not any conflicts of interest may have existed to help explain the unusual way he and his contract considerations have been handled. Dr. Sisney does not believe he has had fair chance to deal with all the issues surrounding some very important—even critical—matters affecting the Broken Arrow Public School System and his role as the Superintendent. We hope that can be corrected and things can get back to helping the children of Broken Arrow.
5. I urge you to adjourn this meeting. I urge you to give us some time to complete our review of the facts. I urge you to get back to business as usual. I urge you to follow Dr. Sisney's advice and immediately begin implementing a Conflict of Interest Policy.
6. Thank you for your time and attention. Fuck you very much.

Thurman L. Sisney
TKT & Associates, Inc.
"Our Business is Building Your Business"

8/11/2009

BASD 1494