

This is a comment from the Ledger's "Grand jury petition campaign gets judge's OK" story, published 10/22/08. This person asserts that he has investigated the issues. Apparently his investigation turned up different answers than 612's (in blue).

Thursday October 23, 2008 at 02:29 PM EST

Jen

Back atcha!! You have no clue to what you are talking about. You are just following what someone that has told you.....I HAVE investigated...and it gets WORSE as I investigate.

As far as Sisney being vocal.. He actually tried to keep this out of reach, but they forced his hand. He tired for months to have the bidding process investigated.

[Sisney waited 8 months before he made any report to a law enforcement or investigation agency, and never notified any state education authority. He had the opportunity at any time to notify authorities; he had legal counsel who specialized in school districts to advise him. If he had really believed there was wrongdoing, he was wrong to keep it from the proper state education authorities.]

If they had nothing to hide...why did the fight it?

[Fight it by doing what? Wilkins' email shows her support for Sisney's investigation, and is corroborated by Updike's email response. Sisney has never stated what the board did to block his investigation, and in fact the emails among board members show the exact opposite. He evidently had access to invoices and work orders, as he provided many examples of what he claimed to be illegal invoice payments in his police report.]

Why was Wilkins paid to do work for Air Assurance before she was elected???

[This is a rumor. There is no proof and no reason to believe Wilkins did any work for Air Assurance. Sisney's lawsuit says only that Sisney "was informed" that Wilkins had worked on a benefits package; even Sisney does not claim anywhere that she actually did. Rampey stated in his Answer to Sisney's defamation petition that Wilkins did not do any work for Air Assurance.]

Why was she promised to be backed in the election if she promised "to get rid of Sisney"?

[This is a rumor. It is not even brought up in either of Sisney's lawsuits; neither he nor anyone else has provided any proof or reason to believe this is true.]

Why was the bidding process not used for the schools heating/air cond?

[This is probably true; however, there is no way the blame can be placed on the three board members and not on the other two, the superintendent, and the CFO. They were all present when the blanket PO's were approved, and all invoice payment is approved by the CFO. All share the blame if bidding procedures were not followed.]

Why haven't we seen invoices for Big Doug?

[Which Doug is "Big Doug"?

There are no invoices for Dr. Douglas Hudkins because no work was done at his office. Air Assurance proved *before Sisney filed his lawsuit* that the work was done at Sequoyah Middle School. Sisney knew this and even included the proof in his filing; yet continued to hold this lie up as evidence of corruption.

The invoices for Doug Mann's law firm were not released at this time because most of the work his firm had done related to Sisney's lawsuit and personnel issue. The board members did not want to risk

releasing the information since it could be claimed by Sisney that they had breached confidentiality. It has been shown that Sisney does not require actual grounds in order to file lawsuits; see his request to dismiss the federal lawsuit where his attorney admits that the case should not have been filed in federal court.]

Why did Gerber all of a sudden move Jill Poole off the Director's job back to nutrition???

[Gerber moved Jill Poole back to nutrition, which is her area of expertise, after Sisney moved her *from* nutrition into a different department. This is one of many personnel moves Sisney made. There are many reports that he did this regularly in an attempt to get rid of people he couldn't outright fire, by making them struggle so much they would either quit or make enough mistakes to be fired.]

Why does Flippo keep changing the minutes of the meetings?

[Flippo removed from the meeting minutes statements that were inflammatory and showed people and events in an unfair or biased way. She then moved to have meetings recorded instead; her intent was to remove any personal bias, intentional or unintentional, by having the board meetings recorded, instead of having a person relate the proceedings in their own words. Since the meetings would be played back unedited, this is the opposite of the censorship she was accused of.]

ANSWER these questions if you know what is going on.

[Done]

The 3 amigos have brainwashed their followers...THAT is ludacris!!!!

[The 3 amigos could not have brainwashed anyone, because they have not been able to say a word about Sisney's dismissal or his accusations against them.]

Why is a judge letting this go to court if their is nothing suspicious??

[This is referring to John Lare's petition, which did not go to court. At this point, the judge had authorized Lare to collect signatures. No judgment on the evidence was required to make this decision; only that Lare had followed the correct procedures to collect signatures.]

John is not doing this for money....he is doing it to get the scum off the school board so we can clean it up!

[Whether there is "scum" on the school board has yet to be shown.]

You are a fool Jen baby..., So is exbteacher

[If "Jen baby" and exbteacher are fools, 612 is in good company.]